## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

STEVEN JOSHUA DINKLE,	)
Plaintiff,	) )
V.	) Civil Action No. 2:18cv1-WHA
FCC BEAUMONT/ALL SIS OFFICERS, et al.,	) [WO]
Defendants.	)

## RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

On January 5, 2018, this court entered an order (Doc. No. 2) directing Plaintiff to file by January 19, 2018, either the \$400.00 filing/administrative fees or an affidavit in support of a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* accompanied by relevant financial information from the inmate account clerk.<sup>1</sup> In its order, the court specifically cautioned Plaintiff that his failure to comply with the order would result in a recommendation that the case be dismissed. Doc. No. 2 at 2.

The requisite time has passed, and Plaintiff has failed to submit either the filing/administrative fees or an affidavit in support of a motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* with relevant financial information in compliance with this court's orders. The court therefore concludes that dismissal is appropriate. *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989) (as a general rule, where a litigant has been forewarned, dismissal for failure to obey a court order is not an abuse of discretion.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff is incarcerated at the Staton Correctional Facility in Elmore, Alabama.

Accordingly, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the Magistrate Judge that this case

be DISMISSED without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the court's orders.

It is further

ORDERED that the parties shall file any objections to this Recommendation or

before February 16, 2018. A party must specifically identify the factual findings and legal

conclusions in the Recommendation to which objection is made; frivolous, conclusive, or

general objections will not be considered. Failure to file written objections to the

Magistrate Judge's findings and recommendations in accordance with the provisions of 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) will bar a party from a de novo determination by the District Court of

legal and factual issues covered in the Recommendation and waives the right of the party

to challenge on appeal the District Court's order based on unobjected-to factual and legal

conclusions accepted or adopted by the District Court except upon grounds of plain error

or manifest injustice. Nettles v. Wainwright, 677 F.2d 404 (5th Cir. 1982); 11th Cir. R. 3-

1. See Stein v. Lanning Sec., Inc., 667 F.2d 33 (11th Cir. 1982). See also Bonner v. City

of Prichard, 661 F.2d 1206 (11th Cir. 1981) (en banc).

Done, on this the 2nd day of February, 2018.

/s/ Susan Russ Walker

Susan Russ Walker

United States Magistrate Judge

2